




jurisdiction over the remaining state-law claims, and remand those claims to the 405th Judicial District Court of Galveston County, Texas (Dkt. 98).

On August 26, 2022, the plaintiff filed objections to the memorandum and recommendation (Dkt. 99). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge’s] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made.” After conducting this de novo review, the court may “accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” *Id.*; *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

The court has carefully considered the objections, the memorandum and recommendation, the pleadings, the applicable law, and the record. The court accepts Judge Edison’s recommendations (Dkt. 98) and adopts them as the opinion of the court. Accordingly:

- (1) Judge Edison’s memorandum and recommendation (Dkt. 98) is approved and adopted in its entirety as the holding of the court;
- (2) Galveston County’s motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 59) is granted. All claims brought against Galveston County are dismissed, and Galveston County is dismissed from the case;
- (3) The Healthcare Defendants’ motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 58) is granted, and the federal constitutional claims brought against the Healthcare Defendants are dismissed;
- (4) Galveston County’s objections to the plaintiff’s summary-judgment evidence (Dkt. 94) are overruled as moot; and
- (5) The plaintiff’s remaining state-law claims against the Healthcare Defendants are remanded to the 405th Judicial District Court of Galveston County, Texas.

SIGNED on Galveston Island this 9th day of September 2022.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY VINCENT BROWN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE